

JESUS WAS THE TORAH MADE FLESH

Rafael writes:

Jesus was not the Torah made flesh (Jn 1:14-17)

Jesus was the Torah made flesh: He was the Lamb, the Showbread, The Lamp, The Tabernacle, The Priest, The Levite, The Law-giver, The Law, The Mercy Seat, The Ark, The Manna, The I AM, The Scape Goat, The Sin offering, The Peace Offering, The Olive Oil, The Veil, The Shekinah Glory....

You are declaring that the Torah is not God's Word. You are declaring that the Torah is not inspired by the Holy Spirit of God. Jesus is God's WORD – God's WAYS, OPINION, VERDICT, EXPRESSION, VIEWPOINT – **MADE FLESH**, AND ALL THE WRITTEN WORD OF GOD IS ALSO GOD'S WAYS, OPINIONS, VERDICTS, EXPRESSION, VIEWPOINT...

THE TORAH IS GOD'S WORD AND JESUS IS GOD'S WORD IN THE FLESH!

Joh 8:58 Jesus said unto them, Verily, verily, I say unto you, Before Abraham was, I am.

- The I AM gave the Law to Moses.

Joh 5: 46 For had ye believed Moses, ye would have believed me: for he wrote of me. 47 But if ye believe not his writings, how shall ye believe my words?

2Ti 3:16 **All** scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness:

2Pe 1:21 For the prophecy came not in old time by the will of man: but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost.

In John 1:17 John is not contrasting Jesus Christ and Moses' Law; but declaring Jesus Christ to be the Essence of the Grace and Truth in the Law – Jesus is the LAMB of God – the Lamb of the Law of Moses. Jesus gave the Law to Moses and the Law was all about the Grace of Truth of Jesus Christ. John uses these terms to speak of the true justification as opposed to types and shadows; but the types and shadows were our schoolmasters to teach us about the true justification. This is the same idea as when the apostles said to the Sanhedrin: Acts 13:39 And by him all that believe are justified from all things, from which ye could not be justified by the law of Moses. Jesus' blood could do what the blood of bulls and goats could not.

Listen: Luke 24:25 Then he said unto them, O fools, and slow of heart to believe all that the prophets have spoken. 26 Ought not Christ to have suffered these things, and to enter into his glory? 27 And beginning at Moses and all the prophets, he expounded unto them in all the scriptures the things concerning himself.

God's law is love, and He didn't wait for 2600 years to have a law. What Moses gave is distinct from the law of Christ, they agree on morals, but not everything in the mosiac law is moral based. Romans 2:13-15 is assuredly not speaking of the mosiac law, to say such is false doctrine

HOW COULD THEY AGREE ON MORALS AND GIVE OPPOSING MORAL LAWS???? Think about what you are saying! Romans 2:13-15 is INDEED speaking about God's Word through Moses and elsewhere. Don't you know the Stars preach the Gospel?? Read Romans 10. The Gospel was preached from the beginning also.

LISTEN: “For when the Gentiles, which have not the law, do by nature the things contained in the law,”

- **Which Law did not Gentiles have??? They did not have the written law of Moses; but through the conviction of the Holy Spirit did some of the thing contained in Moses’ Law -- It is the SAME LAW, SAME GOD, SAME SPIRIT!**

In Genesis 6, God was convicting man (Gen 6:3), it was not the mosiac law convicting

NO KIDDING, God’s Law exists as part of God’s Nature, and the Law of Moses is God’s Nature applied to man’s needs and problems – The needs and problems have not changed, and neither has God’s Nature. God was teaching LOVE from the beginning; but MOSES LAW is God’s Love **in print** by the hand of God’s servant – SO SAYS JESUS! **Jesus himself said there was NO greater commandment than what Moses taught the people. When they asked Jesus which was the greatest commandment, they were probably thinking about the Decalogue, but Jesus answered them from an exhortation Moses gave the people at a different time. WHAT MOSES SAID WAS GOD’S LOVE AND GOD’S WORD! JESUS COULD HAVE NO OTHER LAW, OR GOD’S MIND WOULD BE DIVIDED.**

No one has to keep the food laws, so yes, the mosiac law is abolished (2 Cor 3:13, Eph 2:15). Commanding a certain group of people to keep food laws is not unethical, nor is not commanding them unethical, as saith Jesus, since food does not defile man (Mk 7:18-19).

You are not listening it seems to all that has been said on this. The Ceremonial Laws were types and shadows which are not put upon Gentiles, but they also were never removed from the Jews. Read Acts 21 – **which is 29 years after Pentecost** –

Acts 21:20 And when they heard it, they glorified the Lord, and said unto him, Thou seest, brother, how many thousands of Jews there are which believe; and they are all zealous of the law:

21 And they are informed of thee, that thou teachest all the Jews which are among the Gentiles to forsake Moses, saying that they ought not to circumcise their children, neither to walk after the customs.

22 What is it therefore? the multitude must needs come together: for they will hear that thou art come.

23 Do therefore this that we say to thee: We have four men which have a vow on them;

24 Them take, and purify thyself with them, and be at charges with them, that they may shave their heads: **and all may know that those things, whereof they were informed concerning thee, are nothing; but that thou thyself also walkest orderly, and keepest the law.**

25 As touching the Gentiles which believe, we have written and concluded **that they observe no such thing, save only that they keep themselves from things offered to idols, and from blood, and from strangled, and from fornication.**

I will try to handle some of the in between remarks here:

The Mosiac food laws weren't from the beginning, that is clear doctrine, so why was divorce?

Food laws are Ceremonial Laws and they are not eternal principles. Ceremonial Law is not a necessary component of LOVE; but Moral Laws are necessary to LOVE; and thus Moral Law is eternal and unchanging,

because LOVE is eternal and unchanging. Marriage and Divorce Laws are MORAL ISSUES.

i have plenty of basis for the statement, since there is not one example of lawful remarriage, and Jesus condemned all remarriage. The woman is defiled(tame) by the 2nd man, per Levi 18:20. She may not return to the first as she is subject to death (Levi 18:20-30) for the defilement caused in the land

JESUS NEVER condemned remarriage according to Moses' Law! Adultery is sex in violation of a marriage covenant; but sex within a marriage covenant is NEVER adultery. Lev 18:20 is speaking of sex with another man's wife, which violates the marriage covenant; but remarriage allowed to the "unclean" party in Deut 24 PROVES that the marriage covenant can be broken by "God putting asunder" with His own Law and remarriage then is OK when "God puts asunder". The innocent party being allowed to marry again is a "NO BRAINER" when the "unclean" party is directly given permission to remarry – as the "unclean" being able to marry again would be all that was in question! She is ONLY defiled with reference to the first husband, AND NOT DUE TO ADULTERY, as you say, OR GOD IS GIVING PERMISSION FOR ADULTERY, which HE IS NOT. GOD'S LAW IS LOVE, GOD'S LAW IS HOLY, and God's Law is only to be seen as God's Love and wisdom helping man solve his problems due to people being fallen and sinful.

For a man to come to the elders of the city and use Moses' Law to put away an "unclean" woman so he can maintain purity and holiness in his home before the LORD, and then actually be willing to take her back after being another man's wife MAKES A MOCKERY OF GOD'S LAW BEING HOLY, LOVE, AND WISDOM. He would then only prove his reason for putting her away to begin with was a lie.

laws for priests and bishops are not always exclusive to their office. Bishops were called to be blameless, as well as Christians(2 Pet 3:14). Going with the shadow approach to what the mosaic law taught, Christians are made priests and kings(Rev 1:6), which further confirms no polygamy, no taking of divorced women (Deut 17:17, Levi 21:7)

I thought you said we are not under mosaic law, but under the Law of Christ??

A Priest and Bishop were to be exemplary, not from the salvaged lives department. All Christians are called to become blameless. A Priest could not even marry a widow who did not have a priest before – NOW will you hold fast to that with the statement you just made??

The fact that the apostles gave Bishop and Deacon Requirements as to only have one wife, proves that those with two were allowed in. The fact that Paul says a divorce man – one "loosed" from marriage could remarry without sin PROVES your assumptions to be unfounded. Anyone who knows the Torah and how it was practiced knows you are pulling stuff out of the air.

My comment is based on scripture. Since there are two texts in the Torah that say a man cannot put away all his days (Deut 22:13-21, 28-29)

Your comment is extremely short sighted. The places you mention do not teach what you are saying.

They prove that other men could put away their wives and marry another; but **this man** who tried to do it on faulty grounds could never use that provision with this wife ever again. However, IF SHE COMMITTED ADULTERY later, he most definitely could put her away or have her stoned for THAT.

Rafael writes:

The law written on our hearts today is not the mosaic law (romans 2:13-16, Heb 8:8-10)

I replied: YOU ARE BEING STUBBORN AND DENYING CLEAR SCRIPTURE – READ IT! **JER 31:33** But this shall be the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel; After those days, saith the LORD, I will put my law in their inward parts, and write it in their hearts; and will be their God, and they shall be my people...

Jeremiah is referring to the law of Christ. the law of Christ is not the Mosaic law. The Nt didnt start till Jesus died, so your verses below was Jesus preaching, as He did, that the mosaic law did not contradict the moral law/law of Christ, but was twisted for sin, such twisting we are still seeing. If this is true, and the mosaic law is abolished, the only law that remains is the law of Christ, thus, it is the only law that can be written on the heart today. Paul made this clear:

You are saying that Jesus preached consistent with Moses’ Law during life, but then taught differently after death?? Why would NOT God write the Mosaic Law in our hearts if they agree with the “law of Christ” ? IF LAWS AGREE AS TO MORAL PURPOSE, MORAL GOALS AND ENDS, THEN THEY ARE THEY SAME LAWS.

WHY WOULD JESUS COME PREACHING THAT HE AGREED WITH MOSES, BUT THEN WRITE SOME OTHER LAW IN OUR HEARTS??

Is the Law of Christ LOVE? We know that Moses’ Law is, because Jesus said so!! Sad Confusion...

“MY Law” is God’ speaking of the LAW HE GAVE THROUGH MOSES. To deny this is absurd heresy. Jesus confirms this all through the NT, as do the Apostles! Jesus clearly states that ALL God’s Law through Moses is based on the two greatest commandments! It is the Law of LOVE – THERE IS ONLY ONE SOURCE!

2Co 3:3 Forasmuch as ye are manifestly declared to be the epistle of Christ ministered by us, written not with ink, but with the Spirit of the living God; not in tables of stone, but in fleshy tables of the heart.

If you had only read by book as I suggested, you would not be making such embarrassing statements. The above verse is speaking of THE SPIRIT OF GOD WRITING GOD’S LAW FIRST ON TABLES OF STONE, AND THEN ON THE TABLES OF OUR HEARTS!! THE SAME LAW!! Case closed.

David and Paul both knew this. David spoke of a law that could convert the soul (Ps 19:7, Rom 8:3). Paul speaking of moses said it could not convert (Gal 2:21,3:21). Therefore, David and Paul both speak of the law of Christ as the dominion of conversion, not Moses. Noah was righteous, Abraham was justified, before Sinai

Psalm 37:31, Proverbs 6:22-29, John 8:12

Friend! You are so confused! All the verses above are speaking of the TORAH! NOAH AND ABRAHAM WALKED WITH JESUS – THE “I AM”, the same one who told Moses what to write! MOSE’S LAW IS GOD’S LAW! THERE IS NO OTHER, AND IT IS THE LAW SPOKEN OF IN PSALMS AND ROMANS!

- When the young man asked Jesus what he could do to inherit eternal life, Jesus said, “Keep the commandments”

- LISTEN TO JAMES: Quote from our book Did Jesus Correct Moses:

James never intended for his statements to correct or condemn God’s Law. James is very intent on telling men to be “doers of the Word, and not hearers only”. What Word is James speaking of? Listen:

Jas 1:22 But be ye doers of the word, and not hearers only, deceiving your own selves. 23 For if any be a hearer of the word, and not a doer, he is like unto a man beholding his natural face in a glass: 24 For he beholdeth himself, and goeth his way, and straightway forgetteth what manner of man he was. 25 But whoso looketh into the perfect law of liberty, and continueth therein, he being not a forgetful hearer, but a doer of the work, this man shall be blessed in his deed.

Jas 2:8 If ye fulfil the royal law according to the scripture, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself, ye do well: 9 But if ye have respect to persons, ye commit sin, and are convinced of the law as transgressors. 10 For whosoever shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one point, he is guilty of all. 11 For he that said, Do not commit adultery, said also, Do not kill. Now if thou commit no adultery, yet if thou kill, thou art become a transgressor of the law. 12 So speak ye, and so do, as they that shall be judged by the law of liberty.

Jas 4:11 Speak not evil one of another, brethren. He that speaketh evil of his brother, and judgeth his brother, speaketh evil of the law, and judgeth the law: but if thou judge the law, thou art not a doer of the law, but a judge. 12 There is one lawgiver, who is able to save and to destroy: who art thou that judgest another?

Jas 5:12 But above all things, my brethren, swear not, neither by heaven, neither by the earth, neither by any other oath: but let your yea be yea; and your nay, nay; lest ye fall into condemnation.

James was the bishop of Jerusalem where thousands of Jews had believed and were all zealous of the Law of Moses as they should have been as Jews – Read Acts 21 which occurs 29 years after Pentecost. James certainly believed that Jews were not to “forsake Moses” and live contrary to God’s Law, which He calls the Law of Liberty, because with Jesus as our atonement and High Priest it was not to be looked upon as bondage or condemning, but as Liberating and blessed. James commands people to be doers of the Word, which he reveals as the Scriptures of his day, which comprised what we call the Old Testament! He makes it clear that they were to be obedient to God’s Laws or they would be in trouble with the ONE lawgiver to whom they would give account. Now for us to think that he then turns around and contradicts himself by saying they should not obey God’s Word about lawful swearing is silly and foolish. He gives the same qualifying statements as Jesus does and is speaking of man’s innovations – not the calling of God to witness as Paul practiced while inspired by the Holy Ghost!

I had written in refutation of something he said:

SO sadly confused! “art thou bound to a wife, seek not to be loosed” “Don’t try to kill her??” I Cor 7:39 and Romans 7:1-4 is speaking of MOSES LAW and when you are bound by a law that allows for divorce under certain circumstances, then only a confused person would deny that such an option exists. The death of the testator (covenant victim) was to ratify a covenant, not break one!! Death is not necessary to break a marriage covenant – this is man-made myth. If Joseph had put away Mary, the covenant would have been broken by a just man obeying Moses’ Law! God put away Israel and they were carried off and many died; but his mercy called to a remnant who could repent and return – this cannot be construed to mean what you are saying concerning A man and A woman – impossible.

His reply:

“Dont kill her”, well, under your bewitched logic of the mosiac law still having dominion, its your seered conscience that needs warned of that. Paul’s highly obvious statement actually does have precedent, more precedent than i was aware of before i was brought to your doctrines concerning this situation. Paul makes other highly obvious statements in his letters. But again, if someone is actually considering that Moses law has dominion, than, a woman who commits adultery does need to be put to death upon the witnesses

How sad! Like a child telling his math teacher how “obvious” it is that 2+2=5! You need to read and be taught for your own dignity to avoid such embarrassment.

- Paul is clearly and obviously speaking about “Loosed” by divorce, as his terms “loosed” and “bound” are used in this context throughout the chapter.

- **Acts 21 proves that the Law of Moses still had dominion!! Acts 21 was 29 years after Pentecost and First Corinthians WAS ALREADY WRITTEN!!**

I HAD SAID:

You are denying that Moses' Law is Christ's Law – this makes you a Marcionite. Show me what Jesus commanded that was contrary to Moses' Law! If you declare that any of Christ's Words were contrary to Moses' Law, then you contradict your own statements that they agreed, and that the NT began after Christ died – SO WHAT IS THE LAW OF CHRIST AND WHO TAUGHT IT??? What is different about the Law of Christ and WHO TAUGHT SUCH. Moses wrote Genesis, so where are you getting this "Law of Christ" that is different than Moses' Law? You are making this up, as it does not exist. Jesus told people to obey Moses' Law as it was the WORD OF GOD to mankind.

Moses law is Christ law, no, false doctrine. Jesus gave Moses the law, but there was a law before Jesus gave Moses the law, therefore, they cant be the same thing

In Hebrews you are confusing the "Old Covenant" with the "Law of God" They are not the same thing. The covenant included God's Law along with the ceremonial and civil laws; but the covenant was an **arrangement** with Israel as a nation. The Law of God is God's WAYS which are **also** the basis of the NEW COVENANT. They only things done away have to do with ceremonial laws, NOT MORAL LAWS. TO DENY THIS MAKES YOU A HERETIC of no small degree.

He replied:

i never said moral laws are abolished, nor were abolished. The writing of divorce was, and will never be, part of the moral law, since, it was not from the beginning. As well, you cant say its "God's Word" to hold it as Gospel today, because the ceremonial laws fall under "God's Word". God's Word being authoritative is not the issue, its using it unlawfully, as you are doing, that is the issue. Its God's Word that the Logos sent a cleansed leper to a priest (Lk 5:13-14), such an act that has no precedent today to be carried out physically

What does "being from the beginning" have to do with being part of the Moral Law?? Nothing!

Moral law is God's verdict, judgment, opinion, ways, prescription from eternity past!! God didn't need to *express* His moral verdict about a bill of divorce until *after* man fell and it was needful. You certainly do not want to believe that Moses was inspired by Jesus! Moses' words were Jesus' words!!!

You are so sadly confused and saying things that you will regret in embarrassment later when you are more learned. Please stop and learn for a while. I also look back on things I said in my youth that I now see were foolish. Just stop and read and learn.

I had said:

WHO TAUGHT THIS "LAW OF CHRIST" YOU SPEAK OF?? WHERE IS IT? Adultery had the penalty of death – so your use of the term is not the same as Moses, Jesus, or Paul.

1. The bill of divorce DID end the marriage – she could marry another, and the first man became her "former" husband -- YOU ARE ACCUSING GOD OF PERMITTING ADULTERY!

2. YOU ARE THE ONE SAYING REMARRIAGE IS ALWAYS ADULTERY – NOT GOD, NOT MOSES, AND NOT JESUS OR PAUL

3. GALATIANS IS SPEAKING OF BRINGING GENTILES UNDER JUDAISM – CEREMONIAL LAW AND CIRC. THE SAME PEOPLE WERE UNDER GOD’S MORAL LAW OF LOVE – SAME OT AND NT

He replied:

the law of Christ is in your conscience(Rom 2:13-15), you have seered your conscience, that is why you are teaching for adultery. The law cannot be written on your heart till you repent, as you are teaching for a defilement, Moses accuses you, the one you trust

you arent really presenting much doctrine here consistently . I explained the Ezra thing, the KJV is not perfect, they get words wrong, or, translate in such a way that is in trust the reader uses line upon line study. Yatsa, put away, is not what Moses spoke of in Deut 22 or 24. It can mean to bring forth, carry, come, go. According to the law, they were in sin Deut 7:2-3, Joshua 23:12-13. Similar handlings are in Neh 13:1-3, it was a separation (Ezra 10:11/badal) from anyone that shouldnt be there, not just wives. No writings of divorces are in the text in ezra

- We must educate our conscience by the Word of God, and not change the Word of God according to one’s conscience
- So, if someone has no conscience against being a HOMO, is that the Law of Christ for him???
- How can one seer the Law of Christ?
- WHEN DID JESUS TEACH THE LAW OF CHRIST IF YOU SAY HE AGREED WITH MOSES?
- IT CAN BE PROVED THAT JESUS LIVED AND TAUGHT GOD’S LAW THROUGH MOSES HIS WHOLE LIFE, SO WHEN DID HE TEACH THE LAW OF CHRIST AS YOU SAY?
- YOU DENIED THE EZRA THING. Ezr 10:3 Now therefore let us make a covenant with our God to put away all the wives, and such as are born of them, according to the counsel of my lord, and of those that tremble at the commandment of our God; and let it be done according to the law.
- YOU ARE PLAYING HEBREW, BUT YOU ARE NO SCHOLAR – YOU ARE MIXING YOUR IGNORANCE AND ARROGANCE AND YOU ARE IN GRAVE DANGER.

HE HAD SAID:

polygamy is sin also, but it is truly a separate matter at this point

I replied: (My beliefs on this can be read in my books)

IT PROVES YOU WRONG THAT GOD NEVER CALLS IT SIN, AND A MAN WITH TWO WIVES WAS ALLOWED IN THE NT CHURCH by the apostles

His reply:

Deut 17:17
1 Cor 7:1-4

These two verses do not even deal with the subject. Jehoiada the Priest took two wives for Joash
2 Chron 2:2 And Joash did that which was right in the sight of the LORD all the days of Jehoiada the priest. 3 And Jehoiada took for him two wives; and he begat sons and daughters. 4 And it came to pass after this, that Joash was minded to repair the house of the LORD.

- Obviously the “Law of Christ” his “conscience” had no problem with this????

God told David that He had given him his wives. “Multiplying” then seems to be more than two in God’s eyes.

- **FOR THE RECORD: I don’t believe Christians should practice polygamy any more than they should practice divorce. These provisions were made to deal with issues already at hand, not to prescribe them as noble deeds. God’s Laws through Moses are God’s remedies for the problems man has created through sin and stupidity.**
- **You want to paint us up as promoting adultery, but in reality we are following God’s Word in order to promote holiness and remedies to problems that sin creates.**
- **OUR PEOPLE PROBABLY LIVE MORE HOLY AND PURE LIVES THAN YOUR CHURCH PEOPLE!**

2 Sam 12 can be looked at as God rebuking david for taking bathsheba after uriah was dead. certainly this would be in line with Deut 17. God not blessing it, or God not condemning it outright still leaves us with doctrine speaking against it

You are not correct. David was never rebuked for having two wives even before becoming King, and marrying Abigail after Nabal died. Bathsheba was a totally different situation where David violated a marriage covenant – Not his, but HERS.

I had said:

I FEAR OUR DISCUSSION WILL BE SHORT LIVED IF YOU STUBBORNLY DECLARE A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MOSES’ LAW AND THE LAW OF GOD AND THE LAW OF CHRIST, BUT THEN DECLARE THAT JESUS AND MOSES AGREED; BUT ONLY UNTIL AFTER JESUS DIED, THEN JESUS DID NOT AGREE ANYMORE..... SOUNDS HOPELESS.

Jesus and Moses both taught remarriage adultery, so they agree. But the law that predated the mosaic law did not allow for a writing, therefore, the law of Christ cant be the Mosaic law

Mat 19:8 He saith unto them, Moses because of the hardness of your hearts suffered you to put away your wives: but from the beginning it was not so.

I already answered this.

- -- Moses’ Law includes the first FIVE books of Holy Writ. Thus every law must be interpreted within the context of the whole; and **this is what Jesus is telling the Pharisees.** Deut 24 must be interpreted with the backdrop of the Garden of Eden and God’s original design before Sin came in. ALL God’s Law is a display of God’s LOVE – so said Jesus and the Apostles – thus no conflict between Jesus and Moses’ Laws. **Jesus is telling them to interpret Deut 24 as God’s remedy for the problems their fallen nature has produced, and not a loophole for more selfish pride.** Deut 24 was to be used by godly men as a remedy for the problems hard hearts create – God’s remedy is the same today because God has not changed.
- **Moses’ Words were Jesus’ Words – GOD SUFFERED THEM TO PUT AWAY THEIR WIVES AS A REMEDY FOR THE PROBLEMS HARD HEARTS CREATE. THE HARD HEART WAS WHY THERE WAS “UNCLEANNES”. JESUS WAS NOT DEMEANING MOSES’ LAW AS YOU ARE! GOD DID NOT GIVE DIRECTIVES CONCERNING DIVORCE IN THE GARDEN OF EDEN WHERE THERE WAS NO HARDNESS OF HEART!!**

Jesus and Moses only taught that remarriage was adultery when it broke a marriage covenant contrary to God’s provisions. The Law that predated Moses’ Law was GOD’s Word and Moses

wrote it down at the mouth of God. **MOST** all the laws were already understood and practiced by God's people from the beginning.

Gen 26:5 Because that Abraham obeyed my voice, and kept my charge, my commandments, my statutes, and my laws.

“MY” IS THE KEY WORD – MOSES’ LAW WAS ALSO GOD’S LAW – GOD ALSO CALLS IT “MY” LAW!!

Isa 51:7 Hearken unto me, ye that know righteousness, the people in whose heart is my law; fear ye not the reproach of men, neither be ye afraid of their revilings.

Jer 16:11 Then shalt thou say unto them, Because your fathers have forsaken me, saith the LORD, and have walked after other gods, and have served them, and have worshipped them, and have forsaken me, and have not kept my law;

Jer 31:33 But this shall be the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel; After those days, saith the LORD, I will put my law in their inward parts, and write it in their hearts; and will be their God, and they shall be my people.

Mt 7:12 Therefore all things whatsoever ye would that men should do to you, do ye even so to them: for this is the law and the prophets.

Mt 22:36 Master, which is the great commandment in the law? **37** Jesus said unto him, Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind. **38** This is the first and great commandment.**39** And the second is like unto it, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself. **40** On these two commandments hang **all** the law and the prophets.